arXiv:1512.09285v1 [math.KT] 31 Dec 2015

On spectra and affine strict polynomial functors (preliminary version)

Marcin Chałupnik
Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw,
ul. Banacha 2, 02–097 Warsaw, Poland;
e–mail: mchal@mimuw.edu.pl

Abstract

We compare derived categories of the category of strict polynomial functors over a finite field and the category of ordinary endofunctors on the category of vector spaces. We introduce two intermediate categories: the category of ∞–affine strict polynomial functors and the category of spectra of strict polynomial functors. They provide a conceptual framework for compuational theorems of Franjou–Friedlander–Scorichenko–Suslin and clarify the role of inverting Frobenius morphism in comparing rational and discrete cohomology.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 18A25, 18A40, 18G15. 18G55, 55P42.
Key words and phrases: strict polynomial functor, affine functor, spectrum, Ext–group.

1 Introduction

The aim of the present paper is to better undestand relationship between derived categories of the category $\mathcal{P}_d$ of strict polynomial functors of degree d over a finite field $\mathbf{k}$ and the category $\mathcal{F}$ of "naive" endofunctors on vector

spaces over $\mathbf{k}$. If we only look at the abelian categories, then the situation is well understood. It was shown by Kuhn [Ku2] that if $d \leq |\mathbf{k}|$, then the forgetful functor $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{P}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a full embedding (even a recollement of abelian categories). Unfortunately, this is no longer true at he level of derived categories, since the forgetful functors does not induce an isomorphism on Ext–groups. However, as it was shown by Franjou–Friedlander–Scorichenko–Suslin, we still get an isomorphism on Ext–groups when we take instead of given strict polynomial functors F, G their large enough Frobenius twists $F^{(ni)}, G^{(ni)}$. To put it more precisely: since $\mathbf{f}(F) = \mathbf{f}(F^{(ni)}), \mathbf{f}(G) = \mathbf{f}(G^{(ni)})$, we have the induced map

$$\operatorname{colim}_i \operatorname{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{dp^{ni}}}(F^{(ni)}, G^{(ni)}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{F}}(F, G),$$

and [FFSS, Th. 3.10] says that this map is an isomorphism provided that $d \leq |\mathbf{k}|$. This theorem was complemented by "the twist stability theorem" [FFSS, Th. 4.10] saying that the colim in the left hand side stabilizes for any fixed Ext–degree. This result had numerous nontrivial applications, since in many cases the left hand side is much more computable. Unfortunately, neither its applications nor its proof answers a natural question: why does twisting improves camparison between $\mathcal{P}_d$ and $\mathcal{F}$?

In our article we try to address this question by putting the above mentioned theorems into a wider categorical context. Namely, we factorize the forgetful functor through certain intermediate triangulated category whose Hom–spaces are (among others) colimits of Ext–groups between twists of strict polynomial functors. In fact, we construct two apparently quite different triangulated categories which have this property.

The first construction which is desribed in Sections 2 and 3 uses a concept of "affine strict polynomial functor" introduced in [C4]. In fact our situation resembles that considered in [C4]. In both cases we try to extend a recollement diagram of abelian categories to their derived categories but we face an obstruction that the unit of adjunction is not isomorphic to the identity. Nevertheless, this unit admits an explicit description which gives us a hint how to enrich the starting category to obtain a reflective full embedding (similarly to [C4] we do not get a full recollement due to appearing categories of infinite homological dimension). By applying this procedure we get a DG functor category $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ an then its derived category $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ together with factorization of $\mathbf{f}$ as

$$\mathcal{DP}_d \xrightarrow{z^*} \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}} \mathcal{DF}.$$

The important features of this factorization are that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}}(z^*(F), z^*(G)) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{dp^i}}(F^{(i)}, G^{(i)})$$

and that $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}$ (when restricted to the subcategory $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf_\infty}$ of finite objects in $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$) is a full embedding (Theorem 3.4). Thus we have achieved our goal by a rather tautological construction, since the category $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ is designed exactly in such a way that we get our colimits. This being said, the fact that all this works is highly nontrivial since our construction utilizes in an essential way formality phenomena observed in [C3, C4].

Then in Sectons 4 and 5 we take quite a different approach, which is perhaps more intuitive. It relies on observation that an important difference between the categories $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is that in the latter the Frobenius twist operation is invertible. Hence if we formally invert Frobenius twist in $\mathcal{P}$ we should obtain a category closer to $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, when we think of classical example of applying such a construction i.e. stable homotopy category, we see how colimits enter our story: we should get them as an analog of the Freudenthal theorem.

Technically, we introduce the category $\mathcal{SP}_d$ of spectra of complexes of strict polynomial functors and, following a general approach of Hovey [Ho], we introduce a Quillen model structure on it. Then we put $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ to be the homotopy category with respect to this structure and we get factorization of $\mathbf{f}$ as

$$\mathcal{DP}_d \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}^\infty} \mathcal{DSP}_d \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}^{st}} \mathcal{DF},$$

where $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ is a functor analogus to Σ^∞ in topology. Then we have an analog of the Freudenthal theorem (Corollart 4.7):

$$\mathrm{Hom}^*_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \mathbf{C}^\infty(G)) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{P}_{dp^i}}(F^{(i)}, G^{(i)})$$

and, similarly to the first approach, $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ when restricted to the category $\mathcal{DSP}_d^{st}$ generated as triangulated category by the image of $\mathbf{C}^\infty$, is a full embedding (Theorem 5.3).

Finally, in the last section we compare both constructions. Namely, we find a full reflective embedding $\gamma : \mathcal{DP}_d^{aff_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DSP}_d$ which restricts to an equivalence $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf} \simeq \mathcal{DP}_d^{st}$ (Theorem 6.2). This shows that the categories $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}, \mathcal{SPD}_d$ are quite close, which may be at first sight a bit surprising. As a sort of heuristic explanation we can offer the following observation. Like in the classical context in our category of spectra, the delooping functor Θ^∞

plays an important role. On the other hand as it was observed in [C4] the category of affine strict polynomial is closely related to the category of representations of the group of algebraic loops on $GL_n(\mathbf{k})$. Thus our category $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ should correspond to the infinite loops on $GL_n(\mathbf{k})$. Hence some sort of relation to infinite loop spaces is a feature shared by both our categories.
Now let us dicuss the differences between $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ and $\mathcal{DSP}_d$. The fact that $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ embeds into $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ shows that the first category is closer to $\mathcal{DP}_d$. This is not surprising, since we see in its very construction, that it is a possibly closest to $\mathcal{DP}_d$ triangulated category in which colimits of Exts of twists appear (we make no attempt to make this statement precise). In particular, we see that it is not necessary to fully invert the Frobenius twist to get these colimits. In fact one can show that the Frobenius twist gives the full embedding $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty} \subset \mathcal{DP}_{dp}^{af_\infty}$ but we do not use this fact in our article.
Thus, one could think that the factorization through $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ is something less fundamental. On the other hand however, the functor $\mathbf{f}^{st} : \mathcal{DSP}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{DF}$ has a remarkable property that it preserves (at least some) fibrant objects (Remark 5.4). This suggests that $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ which is a category of algebrogeometric origin seems to encode some important extra information about the discrete category $\mathcal{DF}$.
This article was inspired by an ongoing project joint with Piotr Kowalski exploring connections between rational cohomology and difference algebra. I am grateful to Piotrek for many discussions on various aspects of Frobenius twist. I would also like to thank Stanisław Betley for remarks on the preliminary version of this article.

2 ∞–affine functors

In this section we introduce and establish basic properties of the category $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ of ∞–affine strict polynomial functors. In the next section we relate $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ to the categories $\mathcal{P}_d$ and $\mathcal{F}$.
Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field of positive characteristic p and let $\mathcal{V}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}'$) stands for the category of finite dimensional linear spaces over $\mathbf{k}$ (resp. the category of all linear spaces over $\mathbf{k}$). We consider an infinitely generated graded algebra $A_\infty := \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \ldots]/(x_1^p, x_2^p, ...)$ with $|x_i| = 2p^i$. Let $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}$ denote the graded $\mathbf{k}$–linear category with the objects the same as those of $\mathcal{V}$ but we prefer to label them by $V \otimes A_\infty$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}$. The Hom–spaces are

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}}(V \otimes A_\infty, W \otimes A_\infty) := \Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, W) \otimes A_\infty)$$

where Γ^d stands for the space of symmetric d–tensors.
Let $\mathcal{V}'^{gr}$ stands for the category of $\mathbf{Z}$–graded linear spaces over $\mathbf{k}$.

Definition/Proposition 2.1 *An ∞–affine strict polynomial functor F homogeneous of degree d is a graded $\mathbf{k}$–linear functor*

$$F : \Gamma^d \mathcal{V}_{A_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}'^{gr}.$$

The affine strict polynomial functors homogeneous of degree d with morphisms being natural transformations form a graded abelian category $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$.

The reader of [C4] will find there a similar construction. In fact our category $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ may be thought of as obtained by infinitely times applying the construction producing $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ from [C4]. However, we alert the reader that, in contrast to [C4] and also to the fundational paper on strict polynomial functors [FS], we do not impose any finitness/finite generations assumptions on values of functors.
Like in any functor category, for any $U \in \mathcal{V}$ we have the representable functor $h^{U \otimes A_\infty} \in \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ given by the formula

$$V \otimes A_\infty \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}}(U \otimes A_\infty, V \otimes A_\infty)$$

and the co–representable functor $c^*_{U \otimes A_\infty} \in \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ given by the formula

$$V \otimes A_\infty \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}}(V \otimes A, U \otimes A_\infty)^*$$

where $(-)^*$ stands for the graded $\mathbf{k}$–linear dual.
We have a pair of adjoint exact functors $z : \Gamma^d \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} \longrightarrow \Gamma^d \mathcal{V}^{gr}, t : \Gamma^d \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \Gamma^d \mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}$ which are respectively the forgetful and induction functors. $\Gamma^d \mathcal{V}^{gr}$ here is a variant of the category $\Gamma^d \mathcal{V}$ where the underlying vector category is the category $\mathcal{V}^{gr}$ of $\mathbf{Z}$–graded vector spaces. Then we claim that precomposing with z produces the functor

$$z^* : \mathcal{P}_d^{gr} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}.$$

where $\mathcal{P}_d^{gr}$ is the category of graded, finite in each degree strict polynomial functors of degree d. This follows from the fact that any $F \in \mathcal{P}_d$ can be naturally extended to $F^{gr} \in \mathcal{P}_d^{gr}$. This can be done in two steps. The first step was already used in [C4] (see also [T2, Sec. 2.5]): F can be extended to the graded finite dimensional spaces by postulating that $F \in \mathcal{P}_d$ applied to

the space concentrated in degree j produces a space concentrated in degree jd. Then for $V \in \mathcal{V}^{gr}$ let $V^{\leq |j|} := \bigoplus_{|s| \leq j} V^s$. Then we define $F(V)$ as $\mathrm{colim}_j F(V^{\leq |j|})$.
Analogously, precomposing with t produces the functor

$$t^* : \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^{gr}.$$

Considering here the category $\mathcal{P}_d^{gr}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_d$ will be essential in the next section where we will compare the derived categories of $\mathcal{P}_d$ and $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$.
We list basic properties of ∞–affine functors.

Proposition 2.2 *1. There are natural in $U \otimes A_\infty$ isomorphisms*

$$Hom_{\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}}(h^{U \otimes A_\infty}, F) \simeq F(U \otimes A_\infty)$$

$$Hom_{\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}}(F, c^*_{U \otimes A_\infty}) \simeq (F(U \otimes A_\infty))^*$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$.

2. *Moreover, the map $\Theta : h^{U \otimes A_\infty} \otimes F(U \otimes A_\infty) \longrightarrow F$ adjoint to the map $F_{U \otimes A_\infty, V \otimes A_\infty}$ giving the action of F on morphisms is surjective, provided that $\dim(U) \geq d$.*

3. *If $\dim(U) \geq d$ then $h^{U \otimes A_\infty}$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$, $c^*_{U \otimes A_\infty}$ is an injective generator of $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$*

4. *z^* preserves representable objects i.e.*

$$z^*(\Gamma^{d,U}) = h^{U \otimes A_\infty}.$$

where $\Gamma^{d,U} \in \mathcal{P}_d$ is defined as $V \mapsto Hom_{\Gamma^d \mathcal{V}}(U, V) = \Gamma^d(U^* \otimes V)$.

5. *The functor t^* is right adjoint to z^*.*

The proofs of [C4, Prop. 2.2, Prop. 2.3] carry over to the present situation.

3 Formality and ∞–affine algebraification

In the present section we factorize the derived functor of the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Lf} : \mathcal{DP}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{DF}$ through (the derived category of) $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$. As we have mentioned in Introduction we closely follow the strategy taken in [C4].
Let us regard $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}$ as DG category (with trivial differentials) and consider the category $\mathrm{Dif}(\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty})$ consisting of DG functors from $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}$ to the category of complexes of $\mathbf{k}$–modules. We recall again that we do not make any boundedness/finitness assumptions. Let $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ be the category obtained from $\mathrm{Dif}(\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty})$ by localization with respect to the class of quasiisomorphisms. From now on we assume that our ground field $\mathbf{k}$ is a finite field of cardinality $q \geq d$.
Let $\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I)$ denote bifunctor given by the formula $(V, W) \mapsto \Gamma^d(V^* \otimes W)$. We regard $\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I)$ as a contravariant strict polynomial functor of degree d in V and just a naive functor in W. We shall denote the category of such mixed bifunctors by $\mathcal{P}_f^d$ (subscript f here does not refer to degree but to the category $\mathcal{F}$). Then by the Yoneda lemma the assignement

$$F \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(\Gamma^d(I \otimes I^*), F)$$

is nothing but the forgetful functor $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{P}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$, while the assignment

$$F \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I), F)$$

defines the functor $\mathbf{a} : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_d$ which we call the (right) algebraification. Then it is easy to see that $\mathbf{a}$ is right adjoint to $\mathbf{f}$. This adjunction is among those considered by Kuhn in [Ku2] who has shown that it forms a part of recollement diagram of abelian categories. Our goal is to factorize this adjunction through $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$.
Let X be a projective resolution of $\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I)$ in the category $\mathcal{P}_f^d$. We consider the category $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X$ whose objects are finite $\mathbf{k}$–vector spaces and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X}(V, V') := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V', -), X(V, -)).$$

Then by classical Ext–computations (compare [C4, Prop. 4.1]) the cohomology category of $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X$ is just $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}$. The main point is that we have the following analog of [C4, Th. 4.2]

Theorem 3.1 *The identity map on objects extends to an equivalence of DG categories* $\phi : \Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty} \simeq \Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X$.

Proof: The proof is conceptually similar to that of [C4, Th. 4.2], but since there are some significant technical differences we present it in some detail. First of all we need a strightforward generalization of Touzé universal classes [T1, T3].

Proposition 3.2 *There exist nontrivial classes*

$$c[d]^{(i)} \in Ext^{2dp^{i}-1}_{\mathcal{P}^{dp^i}_{dp^i}}(\Gamma^{dp^i}(I^* \otimes I), \Gamma^d(I^{*(i)} \otimes I^{(i)}))$$

compatible with cup product i.e.

$$\Delta_*(c[d]^{(i)}) = (c[1]^{(i)})^{\cup d}$$

where $\Delta : \Gamma^d \longrightarrow I^d$ *is the standard embedding.*

Proof of Proposition 3.2: For $i = 1$ we have the original Touzé classes, but the proof carries over to this more general case. Indeed: it immediately follows from the degeneracy of the twisting spectral sequence [T3, Prop. 17] and this degeneracy was showed also for multiple twists [T3, Th. 4]. ■

Then by applying a multitwist analog of [C3, Lemma 3.4] and pulling our classes to the category $\mathcal{F}$ we get an $\mathcal{F}$–analog of [C3, Prop. 3.3].

Proposition 3.3 *There exist classes*

$$\widetilde{e}_d \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}^d_f}(\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I), (\Gamma^d(I^* \otimes I))_{A_\infty})$$

satisfying:

1. $\widetilde{e}_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}^1_f}(I^* \otimes I, I^* \otimes I \otimes A^*_\infty) = A_\infty$ *is nontrivial in each degree.*
2. $\widetilde{e}_1^{\otimes d} \circ \Delta = \Delta_{A^*_\infty} \circ \widetilde{e}_d$ *as elements of*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}^d_f}(\Gamma^d(I \otimes I^*), (I^d(I \otimes I^*))_{A^*_\infty}),$$

where $\Delta : \Gamma^d(I \otimes I^*) \longrightarrow I^d(I \otimes I^*)$ *is the natural embedding and*

$$\widetilde{e}_1^{\otimes d} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}^d_f}(I^d(I \otimes I^*), (I^d(I \otimes I^*))_{A^*_\infty})$$

is the dth external power of $\widetilde{e}_1$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: By using the Yoneda lemma we obtain the following identifications:

$$\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V,V')\otimes A_\infty))\simeq\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V'^*\otimes A_\infty^*,V^*))\simeq$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(\Gamma^d(V'^*\otimes-\otimes A_\infty^*),\Gamma^d(V^*\otimes-)).$$

Now, since for $q\geq d$ the forgetful functor is faithfully full, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(\Gamma^d(V'^*\otimes-\otimes A_\infty^*),\Gamma^d(V^*\otimes-))\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma^d(V'^*\otimes-\otimes A_\infty^*),\Gamma^d(V^*\otimes-))$$

Then by lifting morphisms to resolutions we get the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma^d(V'^*\otimes-\otimes A_\infty^*),\Gamma^d(V^*\otimes-))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V'\otimes A_\infty^*,-),X(V,-)).$$

Thus, so far we have produced a natural in V,V' map

$$\gamma:\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}}(V,V')\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V'\otimes A_\infty^*,-),X(V,-)).$$

Now, for the element $\widetilde{e}_d\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_f^d}(\Gamma^d(I^*\otimes I),\Gamma^d(I^*\otimes I\otimes A_\infty^*))$ we find a representative in $\widetilde{e}'_d\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_f^d}(X(-,-),X(-,-\otimes A_\infty^*))$. Then precomposing with $\widetilde{e}'_d$ evaluated on V' gives for any V,V' the arrow

$$(\widetilde{e}'_d)^*:\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V'\otimes A_\infty^*,-),X(V,-))\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V,-'),X(V,-)).$$

Then we define the map

$$\phi_{V,V'}:\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_\infty}}(V,V')\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X}(V,V')$$

describing the action of the functor ϕ on Hom–spaces as the composite

$$\phi_{V,V'}:=(\widetilde{e}'_d)^*\circ\gamma.$$

Finally, thanks to the properties of classes $\widetilde{e}'_d$ established in Proposition 3.2 we conclude, analogously to the proof of [C4, Th. 4.2] that $\phi_{V,V'}$ is a quasi-isomorphism for any V,V'. ■

This formality theorem, which is yet another incarnation of phenomena observed in [C3, C4] allows us to perform "an ∞–affine extension" of the $\{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{a}\}$ adjunction along the lines of [C4, Sect. 3, 4]. Thus the reader is reffered

to [C4] for more extensive explanations of the construction. So, first by Theorem 3.1 we get an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\mathbf{R}\phi^* : \mathcal{DP}_X \simeq \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}.$$

Then, since X is $\mathbf{k}\mathcal{V}$–$\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X$ bimodule, we can consider "the standard functors" [Ke, C4, Sect. 3]:

$$H_X : \mathrm{Dif}(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dif}(\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X), \quad T_X : \mathrm{Dif}(\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dif}(\mathbf{k}\mathcal{V})$$

and their derived functors

$$\mathbf{R}H_X : \mathcal{DF} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DP}_X \quad \mathbf{L}T_X : \mathcal{DP}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{DF}.$$

We recall, that since we do not have any boundedness conditions, $\mathcal{DF}$ stands for the unbounded derived category.
Now we define "the ∞–affine forgetful functor":

$$\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} : \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DF}$$

as $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} := \mathbf{L}T_X \circ (\mathbf{R}\phi^*)^{-1}$,
and "the ∞–affine right algebraification":

$$\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty} : \mathcal{DF} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$$

as $\mathbf{a}^{af} := \mathbf{R}\phi^* \circ \mathbf{R}H_X$.
The next theorem is the main result of the first part of the paper. It is analogous to [C4, Th. 5.1], though slightly weaker. The reason is that, in contrast to [C4], X is not bounded, hence is not a finite object in the category of complexes over $\mathcal{F}$. This forces us to consider the category $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf_\infty}$ which is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$ containing representable functors and closed under taking direct summands. Then we have

Theorem 3.4 *Functors $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}$, $\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty}$ have the following properties:*

1. $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} \circ \mathbf{L}z^* \simeq \mathbf{Lf}$, $\mathbf{R}t^* \circ \mathbf{a}^{af_\infty} \simeq \mathbf{Ra}$.
2. $\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty}$ *is right adjoint to* $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}$.
3. $\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty} \circ \mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} \simeq Id_{\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf_\infty}}$.
4. $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}$ *restricted to* $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf_\infty}$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof: In order to get the first isomorphism in the first part we evaluate $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} \circ \mathbf{L}z^*$ on the projective generator $\Gamma^{d,U}$ of $\mathcal{P}_d$. We obtain

$$\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} \circ z^*(\Gamma^{d,U}) = \mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}) = X(U,-) \simeq \Gamma^{d,U} = \mathbf{f}(\Gamma^{d,U}),$$

which gives the first isomorphism, since both functors commute with infinite coproducts. To get the second isomorpphism we observe that

$$\mathbf{R}t^* \circ \mathbf{a}^{af_\infty}(F)(V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(X(V,-),F) = \mathbf{Ra}(F)(V)$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{DF}$.
The second part of the theorem follows from the $\{\mathbf{L}T_X, \mathbf{R}H_X\}$ adjunction and the fact that $\mathbf{R}\phi^*$ is an equivalence.
To get the third part of the theorem we first observe that

$$\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty} \circ \mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}) \simeq h^{U\otimes A_\infty}$$

by the very definition of X. From this we conclude that the unit of the adjunction is an isomorphism on the whole category $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf_\infty}$.
Parts 4 follows formally from parts 2, 3 (by eg. [Kr, Prop. 2.3.1]). ■

4 Spectra of strict polynomial functors

In this section we modify the category $\mathcal{P}$ by formally inverting the Frobenius twist operation. We achieve this goal by a general construction, known from stable homotopy theory: we consider spectra of strict polynomial functors.
Let $\mathcal{KP}$ be the category of (unbounded) complexes of objects of $\mathcal{P}$ and let $\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{KP} \longrightarrow \mathcal{KP}$ be the Frobenius twist operation i.e. $\mathbf{C}(F^*) := F^{(1)*}$. We denote the ith iteration of $\mathbf{C}$ by $\mathbf{C}^i$.

Definition 4.1 *We call a collection of complexes $F_i \in \mathcal{KP}$ and morphisms $\tau_i : \mathbf{C}(F_i) \longrightarrow F_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$ a spectrum (of complexes of strict polynomial functors). For spectra $F_\bullet, G_\bullet$ we call a collection of cochain maps $\phi_i : F_i \longrightarrow G_i$ a map of spectra if $\tau_i \circ \mathbf{C}(\phi_i) = \phi_{i+1} \circ \tau_i$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Readily the spectra and maps of spectra form a DG–category. We call this category the category of spectra (of complexes of strict polynomial functors) and denote by $\mathcal{SP}$. We have a decomposition of DG–categories

$\mathcal{SP} = \bigoplus_{d\geq 0} \mathcal{SP}_d$ where $\mathcal{SP}_d$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{SP}$ consisting of spectra $F_\bullet$ with $F_i \in \mathcal{KP}_{dp^i}$.
For any $F \in \mathcal{KP}$ we have spectrum $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ defined by the formula

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)_i := \mathbf{C}^i(F).$$

The assignement $F \mapsto \mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ produces the functor

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty : \mathcal{KP} \longrightarrow \mathcal{SP}$$

which has the evaluation functor $ev(F_\bullet) := F_0$ as right adjoint.
We denote by $\mathbf{K}$ the functor right adjoint to $\mathbf{C}$.

Definition 4.2 *We call spectrum $F_\bullet$ a $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum (resp. a strong $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum) if all the maps $\tau_i : \mathbf{C}(F_i) \longrightarrow F_{i+1}$ are quasiisomorphisms (resp. isomorphisms). Similarly, we call spectrum $F_\bullet$ a $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum (resp. a strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum) if all the maps $\omega_i : F_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(F_{i+1})$ adjoint to τ_i are quasiisomorphisms (resp. isomorphisms).*

Of course, $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ is always a strong $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum. Less trivially, for a Young diagram λ, let $p\lambda$ denote the Young diagram whose rows are those of λ multiplied by p. Then by [C3, Prop. 2.1] $\mathbf{K}(S^{p\lambda}) = S^\lambda$, hence the collection $\{S^{p^i\lambda}\}_{i\geq 0}$ forms a strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum denoted $S^{p^\bullet\lambda}$. More generally, since by [C3, Prop. 4.2] $\mathbf{K}(S_{F_k(\lambda)}[h_k]) = S_\lambda$, any Schur functor S_λ gives rise to a strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum $\{S_{F_k^i(\lambda)}[h_k^i]\}$ (F_k^i stands for certain combinatorial operation which enlarges Young diagram (see [C2])).
Now in order to develop homological algebra in $\mathcal{SP}$ we are going to equip it with a Quillen model structure. We will do this by applying a general procedure described in [Ho] which allows one to introduce a model structure on the category of spectra over a model category with left Quillen endomorphism. We summarize the properties of the Quillen structure on $\mathcal{SP}$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3 *There exists a cofibrantly generated model structure on $\mathcal{SP}$ with the following properties:*

1. *The cofibrant objects are spectra with structure maps τ_i monomorphic.*
2. *The fibrant objects are $\mathbf{K}$–spectra consisting of complexes of injective objects.*

3. *The prolongation of* $\mathbf{C}$ *on* $\mathcal{SP}$ *is a Quillen equivalence with the inverse being the shift functor.*

4. *If for a map of spectra* $\phi_\bullet : F_\bullet \longrightarrow G_\bullet$ *there exists* i_0 *such that for all* $i \geq i_0$ ϕ_i *is a quasiisomorphism, then* $\phi_\bullet$ *is a weak equivalence.*

5. *The adjoint pair* $\{\mathbf{C}^\infty, ev\}$ *is a Quillen pair for the injective Quillen structure on* $\mathcal{KP}$ *and* $\mathbf{LC}^\infty = \mathbf{C}^\infty$.

Proof: We apply the machinery of [Ho] to the injective model structure on $\mathcal{KP}$ with the functor $\mathbf{C}$ as the left Quillen endofunctor. Then the first part is [Ho, Propositon 1.14] (we recall that the Bousfield localization preserves cofibrant objects), the second part is [Ho, Theorem 3.4] and third is [Ho, Theorem 3.9]. The fourth part follows from the third. For the fifth part we recall that in the injective model structure on $\mathcal{KP}$, the cofibrations are all monomorphisms, hence it is obvious that $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ takes cofibrations to cofibrations. ■

Thus we have a Quillen structure on $\mathcal{SP}$ and we put $\mathcal{DSP}$ to be the homotopy category of $\mathcal{SP}$ with respect to this structure. However, since the injective structure on $\mathcal{KP}$ is not finitely generated, we cannot deduce from general reasonig of [Ho] some of its important good properties like a colim description of classes of stable maps [Ho, Corollary 4.13]. We will still be able to obtain the above mentioned description but for doing this we will need some tools more specific to the category $\mathcal{P}$.
We start with a slight generalization of the last part of Proposition 4.3.

Definition 4.4 *Let* $\phi_\bullet : F_\bullet \longrightarrow G_\bullet$ *be a map of spectra. We say that* $\phi_\bullet$ *is a stable quasiisomorphism if for any* $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ *there exists* i_j *such that for all* $i \geq i_j$, $(\phi_i)_* : H^j(F_i) \longrightarrow H^j(G_i)$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proposition 4.5 *Any stable quasiisomorphism is a weak equivalence.*

Proof: Let $F_\bullet^{\leq n}, G_\bullet^{\leq n}$ denote degreewise truncations above cohomological degree n. Then $\phi_\bullet$ induces a weak equivalences between $F_\bullet^{\leq n}$ and $G_\bullet^{\leq n}$ for any n. Since an inverse limit of weak equivalences is a weak equivalence we get our assertion. ■

We now turn to investigating the properties of "spectrification functor". We recall that it is an endofunctor on $\mathcal{SP}$ given by the formula

$$\Theta^\infty(F_\bullet)_i := \mathrm{colim_j}\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{F_{i+j}}).$$

Our goals are Corollary 4.7 which gives "the colim description of classes of stable maps" and Theorem 4.8 which says that Θ^∞ is a Quillen equivalence. It is the point where the general methods of [Ho] fail in our situation, for Hovey shows an analogous fact under assumption that the starting model category is almost finitely generated, while the category of complexes with the injective model structure is not. Instead we take a more concrete approach which relies on the Collapsing Conjecture [C3].

For $F \in \mathcal{KP}_d$ let $F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}$ denote spectrum with

$$(F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty})_i := F^{(i)}_{A_\infty},$$

where $F^{(i)}_{A_\infty}$ is meant as precomposing of $F^{(i)}$ with $- \otimes A_\infty$ which practically means that we also twist A_∞. This is of greate importance because twisting a graded space multiplies degrees of elements by p^i. When defining the map $\tau_i : \mathbf{C}(F^{(i)}_{A_\infty}) \longrightarrow F^{(i+1)}_{A_\infty}$ we should also be careful since

$$\mathbf{C}(F^{(i)}_{A_\infty})(V) = F(V^{(i+1)} \otimes A^{(i)}_\infty) \neq F(V^{i+1} \otimes A^{(i+1)}_\infty) = F^{(i+1)}_{A_\infty}(V)$$

Under these identifications we take τ_i as the map induced by the projection $A^{(i)}_\infty \longrightarrow A^{(i+1)}_\infty$. Thus we see that τ_i is not an isomorphism. This is the reason why we do not use here the notation $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F_{A_\infty})$ here. On the other hand, $F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}$ is a strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum, since $\mathbf{K}(F^{(i+1)}_{A_\infty}) = F^{(i)}_{A_\infty}$ and, as it is easy to see, ω_i is just the identity map. Now we have

Theorem 4.6 *Let $\{F_\bullet\}$ be a $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum. Then we have natural in $F_\bullet$ weak equivalences*

$$F_\bullet \simeq (F_0)^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty} \simeq \Theta^\infty(F_\bullet).$$

Proof: Let $\alpha : F_\bullet \longrightarrow F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}$ be the obvious embedding. Then, since the degrees in $A^{(i)}_\infty$ are divisible by $2p^i$, the map

$$\alpha_i : F_i \simeq F^{(i)} \longrightarrow F^{(i)}_{A_\infty} = (F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty})_i$$

induces isomorphisms on H^j for $j < 2p^i$. Thus α is a stable quasiisomorphism, hence a weak equivalence by Proposition 4.5.
Now we look at $\Theta^\infty(F_\bullet)$. By the Collapsing Conjecture [C3, Theorem 3.2] we have

$$\Theta^\infty(F_\bullet)_i \simeq \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^j(F_0^{(i+j)}) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_j (F_0^{(i)})_{A_j} = (F_0^{(i)})_{A_\infty} = ((F_0)^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty})_i.$$

■

This gives an explicit description of the maps in $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ we are interested in.

Corollary 4.7 *There is a natural in $F, G \in \mathcal{P}_d$ isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \mathbf{C}^\infty(G)[s]) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_i \, \mathrm{Ext}^s_{\mathcal{P}_{dp^i}}(F^{(j)}, G^{(j)}).$$

Proof: Let I^i be an injective resolution of $G^{(i)}$. Then by the injectivity of I^i's we can form a $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum $I(G)$ with $I(G)_i := I^i$ together with a weak equivalence $\alpha : \mathbf{C}^\infty(G) \simeq I(G)$. Then, by Theorem 4.6

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \mathbf{C}^\infty(G)[s]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \Theta^\infty(I(G))[s]).$$

Now, since $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ is cofibrant and $\Theta^\infty(I(G))$ is fibrant, we can realize $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}$ as the set of genuine maps in $\mathcal{SP}_d$ modulo homotopy:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \Theta^\infty(I(G))[s])/\sim .$$

Then, since the functor $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ is left adjoint to the evaluation functor $X_\bullet \mapsto X_0$, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{SP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(F), \Theta^\infty(I(G))[s])/\sim \; \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(F, \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^j(I(G)_j)[s])/\sim .$$

Next, for F is a finite object in $\mathcal{KP}_d$, we get by $\{\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\}$–adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(F, \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^j(I(G)_j))[s])/\sim \; \simeq \; \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(F, \mathbf{K}^j(I(G)_j))[s])/\sim$$

$$\simeq \; \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_d}(\mathbf{C}^i(F), I(G)_j)[s])/\sim \; \simeq \; \mathrm{colim}_j \mathrm{Ext}^s_{\mathcal{P}_d}(F^{(j)}, G^{(j)})$$

which concludes the proof. ■

We finish this section by strengthening Theorem 4.6 to a general statement about the functor Θ^∞ analogous to (the part of) [Ho, Theorem 4.12]. Although we did not need this fact for the proof of Corrolary 4.7, we will use it in Section 6.

Theorem 4.8 *There is a natural in $X \in \mathcal{SP}_d$ isomorphism $\beta : X \simeq \Theta^\infty(X)$.*

Proof: We consider for a moment an obvious degreewise abelian structure on $\mathcal{SP}_d$. Then any $X \in \mathcal{SP}_d$ possess a resolution $X^\bullet$:

$$\ldots \longrightarrow X^{-n} \longrightarrow \ldots X^0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

with X^{-n} being the sum of spectra of the form $\mathbf{C}^\infty_j(F)$ (where $\mathbf{C}^\infty_n$ stands for $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ shifted by n). We construct such a resolution in the following manner. As X^0 we take $\bigoplus_i \mathbf{C}^\infty_i(X_i)$ and, obviously, we have an epimorphic map of spectra $\gamma_0 : X^0 \longrightarrow X$. Then we apply our construction for $\ker(\gamma_0)$ etc.
Now by Theorem 4.6, β is a weak equivalence for all X^{-n}. Then by the naturality of Θ^∞ it is a weak equivalence for X. ■

5 Spectra of ordinary functors and factorization

We start this section with briefly describing a paralel theory of spectra of ordinary (or discrete) functors. Although this category will turn out to be Quillen equivalent to the starting category $\mathcal{KF}$ of ordinary functors, it provides a natural intermediate step for comparing the categories $\mathcal{SP}_d$ and $\mathcal{KF}$. We define the category $\mathcal{SF}$ of spectra of ordinary functors analogously to the definition of $\mathcal{SP}$ given in Section 6, just taking objects from $\mathcal{KF}$ instead of those from $\mathcal{KP}$. We equip $\mathcal{SF}$ with a Quillen model structure analogous to that on $\mathcal{SP}$. In fact, since $\mathbf{C}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{KF}$, the categories $\mathcal{DSF}$ and $\mathcal{DF}$ are equivalent by [Ho, Theorem 5.1]. However, in order to describe this equivalence more explicitly, we would like to know, like in the previous section, that Θ^∞ is an equivalence.

Proposition 5.1 *There is a natural in $X \in \mathcal{SF}$ isomorphism $\beta : X \simeq \Theta^\infty(X)$.*

Proof: We first observe that since $\mathbf{C}$ (hence its right adjoint $\mathbf{K}$) is an equivalence, β is obviously an isomorphism for any strong $\mathbf{C}$–spectrum. Then for any spectrum we take its resolution by strong $\mathbf{C}$–spectra as described in the proof of Theorem 4.8 and obtain our claim for any spectrum. ■

Let $ev_\infty : \mathcal{SF} \longrightarrow \mathcal{KF}$ be the composite $ev \circ \Theta^\infty$ where ev is the evaluation functor $X_\bullet \mapsto X_0$.

Corollary 5.2 *The functors* $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ *and* ev_∞ *induce mutually inverse Quillen equivalences (both left and right) between* $\mathcal{SF}$ *and* $\mathcal{KF}$*. Hence we have* $\mathbf{LC}^\infty = \mathbf{C}^\infty$ *and* $\mathbf{R}ev_\infty = ev_\infty$*.*

Proof: Since $\mathbf{K}$ is the inverse of $\mathbf{C}$, we have a natural isomorphism in $\mathcal{KF}$

$$ev_\infty \circ \mathbf{C}^\infty(F) = \mathrm{colim}_i \mathbf{K}^i\mathbf{C}^i(F) \simeq F.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbf{C}$ commutes with direct limits, we have

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty \circ ev_\infty(X)_i = \mathbf{C}^i \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^j(X_j) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{C}^i\mathbf{K}^j(X_j) =$$

$$\mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^{j-i}(X_j) = \mathrm{colim}_j \mathbf{K}^j(X_{j+i}) = \Theta^\infty(X)_i.$$

Then by Proposition 5.1 we have

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty \circ ev_\infty \simeq \Theta^\infty \simeq Id_{\mathcal{SF}}.$$

Thus we have shown that $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ and ev_∞ are mutually inverse equivalences between $\mathcal{KF}$ and $\mathcal{SF}$. They are obviously resp. left and right Quillen functors. Additionally, in our situation $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ prserves fibrations because when $\mathbf{C}$ is invertible theen $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ is always a $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum. Analogously, ev_∞ obviously preserves cofibrations because $\mathbf{K}$ preserves monomorphisms. ■

Then by general reasoning, the adjoint pair $\{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}\}$ between the categories $\mathcal{P}_d$ and $\mathcal{F}$ extends degreewise to a Quillen pair between the categories $\mathcal{SP}_d$ and $\mathcal{SF}$. Let us define $\mathbf{f}^{st} : \mathcal{DSP}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{DF}$ as the composite $ev_\infty \circ \mathbf{Lf}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{st} : \mathcal{DF} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DSP}_d$ as the composite $\mathbf{Ra} \circ \mathbf{C}^\infty$. Again, it turns out that $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ is not a full embedding on the whole category $\mathcal{SP}_d$. For this reason we distinguish the subcategory $\mathcal{DP}^{st}$, the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{DSP}_d$ containing the image of $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ and closed on taking direct summands.

Theorem 5.3 *The functors* $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ *and* $\mathbf{a}^{st}$ *satisfy the following properties.*

1. $\mathbf{f}^{st} \circ \mathbf{C}^\infty \simeq \mathbf{Lf}, \mathbf{R}ev \circ \mathbf{a}^{st} = \mathbf{Ra}$.
2. *The functor* $\mathbf{a}^{st}$ *is right adjoint to* $\mathbf{f}^{st}$*.*
3. $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ *restricted to* $\mathcal{DP}^{st}$ *is a full embedding.*

Proof: For the first isomorphism we observe that any $F \in \mathcal{KP}_d$

$$\mathbf{f}^{st}(\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(F)) = \mathrm{colim}_i\ \mathbf{K}^i\mathbf{C}^i(\mathbf{f}(F)) = \mathbf{f}(F).$$

In order to get the second isomorphism we evaluate the left–hand–side on the injective cogenerator of $\mathcal{F}$, I_U. We have

$$\mathbf{a}^{st}(I_U) = \{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma^{dp^i,V}, I_U^{(i)})\} = \{S^{dp^i}_{U^{-(i)}}\}$$

Thus we see that this is a strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum, hence a fibrant object in $\mathcal{SP}_d$. Therefore

$$\mathbf{R}ev(\mathbf{a}^{st}(I_U)) = \mathbf{R}ev(\{S^{dp^i}_{U^{-(i)}}\}) = ev(\{S^{dp^i}_{U^{-(i)}}\}) = S^d_U = \mathbf{Ra}(I_U).$$

The adjointness of $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{st}$ follows from the adjointness of $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{a}$.
The third part follows from the first part, Theorem 4.6 and [FFSS, Th. 3.10]. ■

Remark 5.4: The functor $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ has an intriguing extra feature. We recall from Section 4 the fibrant spectra $S^{p^\bullet\lambda} := \{S^{p^i\lambda}\}$. Then we see that

$$\mathbf{f}^{st}(S^{p^\bullet\lambda}) = \mathrm{colim}_i\ S^{p^i\lambda(-i)}$$

which is nothing but the product of the Carlsson functors whose injectivity was shown by Kuhn [Ku1]. Thus we see that $\mathbf{f}^{st}$ preserves some important fibrant objects.

6 Comparison of spectra and ∞–affine functors

In this section we construct a functor $\gamma : \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DSP}_d$ which is a reflective full embedding and is compatible with our previous constructions. Let $A_n := \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i]/(x_1^p, x_2^p, \ldots x_i^p)$ for $|x_j| = 2p^j$ (we allow here also $A_0 := \mathbf{k}$). We consider the categories $\Gamma^d\mathcal{V}_{A_i}$, $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_i}$, $\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_i}$ ($\mathcal{P}_d^{af_0}$ means just $\mathcal{P}_d$) analogously to the notions introduced in Section 2. The theory of "i–affine functors" is parallel (even a bit simpler since A_i is finite dimensional) to that of "∞–affine functors". In particular, for $j < i$, we have the functors

$$t^*_{j,i} : \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_j} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_i}$$

induced by the embeddings $A_j \subset A_i$ and their adjoints $z^*_{j,i}$. We also consider the infinite variants:

$$t^*_{\infty,i} : \mathcal{DP}^{af_\infty}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{DP}^{af_i}_d$$

and their adjoints $z^*_{\infty,i}$. We have also the adjunction $\{\mathbf{C}^{af_i}, \mathbf{K}^{af_i}\}$ between the categories $\mathcal{DP}^{af_i}_d$ and $\mathcal{DP}_{dp^i}$.

Lemma 6.1 *We have the following isomorphisms of functors:*

- $t^*_{\infty,i} \simeq t^*_{i+1,i} \circ t^*_{\infty,i}$
- $\mathbf{C}^{af_i} \circ t^*_{i+1,i} \simeq \mathbf{K} \circ \mathbf{C}^{af_{i+1}}$
- $t^*_{i+1,i} \circ \mathbf{K}^{af_{i+1}} \simeq \mathbf{K}^{af_i} \circ \mathbf{K}$.

Proof: The first isomorphism is obvious. In order to get the second we evaluate both sides on the projective generator $h^{U\otimes A_{i+1}}$ of $\mathcal{DP}^{af_{i+1}}_d$. Since $h^{U\otimes A_{i+1}} = z^*(\Gamma^{d,U})$, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{C}^{af_{i+1}}(h^{U\otimes A_{i+1}})) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{K}^{af_{i+1}}(z^*(\Gamma^{d,U}))) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{C}^{i+1}(\Gamma^{d,U})) = (\Gamma^{d,U})^{(i)}_{A_1},$$

we recall (cf. the definition of spectrum $F^{(\infty)}$) that

$$(\Gamma^{d,U})^{(i)}_{A_1}(V) := \Gamma^d(V^{(i)} \otimes A_1^{(i)} \otimes U^*).$$

On the other hand we have

$$\mathbf{K}^{af_i}(t^*_{i+1,i}(h^{U\otimes A_{i+1}})) = \mathbf{K}^{af_i}(h^{U\otimes A_i \otimes A_i^{(i)}}) = \Gamma^{d,U})^{(i)}_{A_1}.$$

The last isomorphism is best seen when we evaluate both sides on the generator $S^{p^{i+1}\lambda}$ (the both sides are clearly trivial on generators S^μ for $\mu \neq p^{i+1}\lambda$). Then we have

$$t^*_{i+1,i}(\mathbf{K}^{af_{i+1}}(S^{p^{i+1}\lambda})) = t^*_{i+1,i}(S^\lambda) = S^\lambda$$

where S^λ as an object in the affine category means the functor $V \otimes A_j \mapsto S^\lambda(V)$ (ie. we kill the A_j–structure). Similarly we get

$$\mathbf{K}^{af_i}(\mathbf{K}(S^{p^{i+1}\lambda})) = \mathbf{K}^{af_i}(S^{p^i\lambda}) = S^\lambda.$$

■

Now for $F \in \mathcal{P}^{af_\infty}_d$ we consider the collection $\widetilde{\gamma}(F) := \{\mathbf{C}^{af_i}(t^*_{\infty,i}(F))\}$. By

Lemma 6.1 $\widetilde{\gamma}(F)$ is a $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum, hence $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a functor from $\mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$ to $\mathcal{SP}_d$. Since $\widetilde{\gamma}$ preserves fibrant objects, we have its derived functor

$$\gamma : \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty} \longrightarrow \mathcal{DSP}_d.$$

On the other hand, for a strong $\mathbf{K}$–strong spectrum $X_\bullet$ let us consider the collection $\{\mathbf{K}^{af_i}(X_i)\}$. Then by the last part of Lemma 6.1

$$t_{i+1,i}^*(\mathbf{K}^{af_{i+1}}(X_{i+1})) \simeq \mathbf{K}^{af_i}(X_i).$$

We claim that the assignment $V \mapsto X_0(V)$ can be naturally equipped with a structure of ∞–affine functor. For this we need to construct a natural in V, V' map

$$\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, V') \otimes A_\infty) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X_0(V), X_0(V')).$$

To this end we take a compatible family of maps

$$\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, V') \otimes A_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X_0(V), X_0(V')).$$

coming from the i–affine structure on $\mathbf{K}^{af_i}(X_i)$. Since

$$\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, V') \otimes A_\infty) = \mathrm{colim}_i\, \Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, V') \otimes A_i),$$

this family produces the desired map

$$\Gamma^d(\mathrm{Hom}(V, V') \otimes A_\infty) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(X_0(V), X_0(V')).$$

The described above construction gives as a functor

$$\widetilde{\delta} : \mathbf{K}\mathcal{SP}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^{af_\infty}$$

where $\mathbf{K}\mathcal{SP}_d$ means the full subcategory of $\mathcal{SP}_d$ consisting of strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectra. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{K}\mathcal{SP}_d) \simeq \mathcal{DSP}_d$ and the functor $\widetilde{\delta}$ preserves quasiisomorphisms, we obtain the functor

$$\delta : \mathcal{DSP}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}.$$

Theorem 6.2 *The functors γ, δ satisfy the following properties.*

1. *There are isomorphisms of functors* $\gamma \circ z^* \simeq \mathbf{C}^\infty$, $\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty} \simeq \mathbf{f}^{st} \circ \gamma$, $\mathbf{R}ev \simeq t^* \circ \delta$, $\mathbf{a}^{af_\infty} \simeq \delta \circ \mathbf{a}^{st}$.

2. δ *is right adjoint to* γ.

3. *There is an isomorphism* $Id_{\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}} \simeq \delta \circ \gamma$.

4. γ *is a full embedding and it induces an equivalence* $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf} \simeq \mathcal{P}_d^{st}$.

Proof: First we observe that $t^*_{\infty,i} \circ z^* = (z^*_{i,0})_{A^{(i)}_\infty}$. Hence for $F \in \mathcal{KP}_d$ we obtain

$$\gamma(z^*(F)) = \{\mathbf{C}^{af_i}(t^*_{\infty,i}(z^*(F)))\} = \{\mathbf{C}^{af_i}(z^*_{i,0}(F_{A^{(i)}_\infty}))\} = \{\mathbf{C}^i(F_{A^{(i)}_\infty})\} = F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}.$$

Now we recall that by Theorem 4.6 the spectra $F^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty(F)$ are naturally stably quasiisomorphic, hence equivalent in $\mathcal{DSP}_d$.
In order to establish the second isomorphism we evaluate both sides on the generator $h^{U\otimes A_\infty}$. On one hand we have

$$\mathbf{f}^{af_\infty}(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}) = \mathbf{f}(\Gamma^{d,U}).$$

On the other hand:

$$\mathbf{f}^{st}(\gamma(h^{U\otimes A_\infty})) = \mathbf{f}^{st}((\Gamma^{d,U})^{(\infty)}_{A_\infty}) \simeq \mathbf{f}^{st}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(\Gamma^{d,U})) = \mathbf{f}(\Gamma^{d,U}).$$

The isomorphisms involving δ can be proved in a similar manner.
To establish the adjunction it suffices to prove a natural in U, isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}}(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}, \delta(X_\bullet)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\gamma(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}), X_\bullet)$$

for any strong $\mathbf{K}$–spectrum $X_\bullet$. To compute the left–hand–side we use the $\{z^*, t^*\}$ adjunction and get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}}(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}, \delta(X_\bullet)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_d}(\Gamma^{d,U}, t^*(\delta(X_\bullet))) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_d}(\Gamma^{d,U}, X_0).$$

Analogously, by using the $\{\mathbf{C}^\infty, \mathbf{R}ev\}$ adjunction we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\gamma(h^{U\otimes A_\infty}), X_0) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DSP}_d}(\mathbf{C}^\infty(\Gamma^{d,U}), X_\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DP}_d}(\Gamma^{d,U}, X_0).$$

In order to show the third part we compute $\delta(\gamma(F))$ for any $F \in \mathcal{DP}_d^{af_\infty}$. We have $\delta(\gamma(F)) = \delta(\{\mathbf{C}^{af_i}(t^*_{\infty,i}(F))\}$. Hence $\delta(\gamma(F))$ is obtained by taking the colimit over the collection

$$\{\mathbf{K}^{af_i}(\mathbf{C}^{af_i}(t^*_{\infty,i}(F)))\} \simeq \{t^*_{\infty,i}(F))\}$$

which is just F.
The fact that γ is a full embedding is a formal consequence of the parts 3 and 4. The equivalence $\mathcal{DP}_d^{faf} \simeq \mathcal{P}_d^{st}$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{DP}_d^{st}$ is generated as a triangulated category by the image of γ. ■

References

[C1] M. Chałupnik, *Extensions of strict polynomial functors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4 série **38** (2005), 773–792.

[C2] M. Chałupnik, *Schur–De-Rham complex and its cohomology*, Journal of Algebra **282** (2004), 699–727.

[C3] M. Chałupnik, *Derived Kan extension for strict polynomial functors*, Int. Math. Research Notices **2015** (2015), Issue 20, 10017–10040.

[C4] M. Chałupnik, *Affine strict polynomial functors and formality*, preprint available at arxive.org/abs/1411.3404.

[FFSS] V. Franjou, E. Friedlander, A. Scorichenko, A. Suslin, *General Linear and Functor Cohomology over Finite Fields*, Annals of Math. **150** no. 2, (1999), 663–728.

[Ho] M. Hovey, *Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **165** (2001), 63–127.

[Ke] B. Keller, *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4 série **27**, no. 1 (1994), 63–102.

[Kr] H. Krause, *Localization theory for triangulated categories*, in T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, R. Rouquier (editors), Triangulated Categories, LMS Lect. Notes Series 375, Cambridge University Press 2010, 161–235.

[Ku1] N. Kuhn, *Generic representations of the finite general linear groups and the Steenrod algebra III*, K–Theory J. **9** (1995), 273–303.

[Ku2] N. Kuhn, *A stratification of generic representation theory and generalized Schur algebras*, Documenta Matematica, **9** (2004), 41–56.

[T1] A. Touzé, *Universal classes for algebraic groups*, Duke Math. J. **151** (2010), no. 2, 219–249.

[T2] A. Touzé, *Troesch complexes and extensions of strict polynomial functors*, Ann. Sci. E.N.S. **45** (2012), no. 1, 53–99.

[T3] A. Touzé, *A construction of the universal classes for algebraic groups with the twisting spectral sequence*, arXive: 1106.6183v3.